



RECEIVED  
AUG 13 2003  
TC 1700

1742

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 1742  
Examiner : Andrew E. Wessman  
Serial No. : 09/680,088  
Filed : October 5, 2000  
Inventors : Seiji Nabeshima  
: Yasuo Kishimoto  
: Shuji Takeuchi  
Title : RUST-RESISTANT  
: CALCIUM STEEL



035811

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: 1396-00  
Confirmation No.: 8261  
Not. Of Allow.: 03/14/03  
Dated: August 7, 2003

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8**

For

Postcard

Response to Notice of Drawing Inconsistency

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date appearing below.

Name of Applicant, Assignee, Applicant's Attorney  
or Registered Representative:

Piper Rudnick LLP  
Customer No. 035811

By: 

Date: 7 AUG 2003



RECEIVED  
AUG 13 2003  
TC 1700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 1742  
Examiner : Andrew E. Wessman  
Serial No. : 09/680,088  
Filed : October 5, 2000  
Inventors : Seiji Nabeshima  
          : Yasuo Kishimoto  
          : Shuji Takeuchi  
Title : RUST-RESISTANT  
      : CALCIUM STEEL



035811

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: 1396-00  
Confirmation No.: 8261  
Not. Of Allow.: 03/14/03  
Dated: August 7, 2003

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY**

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification dated July 17, 2003, we respectfully submit that the Brief Description does **not** refer to Figs. 2(a), 2(b), 3(a), 3(b), 4(a) and 4(b). The description on page 7 referring to Fig. 2 is broken down into subparagraphs (a) and (b) and does not refer to Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The same is true for Figs. 3 and 4 on page 8, wherein the description is again broken down into subparagraphs (a) and (b). We respectfully request that there is no inconsistency between the specification and the drawings and that the requirement be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

T. Daniel Christenbury  
Reg. No. 31, 750  
Attorney for Applicant

TDC:rb  
(215) 656-3300